

Q1 How far do you live from Carr Park?


Answered: 296 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than a 5-minute walk	43.58%	129
5 to 15 minute walk	32.09%	95
I would bike or drive to this park	24.32%	72
TOTAL		296

Q2 What age range do you fall into?


Answered: 296 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Under 12	1.69% 5
12-15	1.35% 4
16-20	7.77% 23
21-30	9.46% 28
31-40	35.47% 105
41-50	23.31% 69
51+	20.95% 62
TOTAL	296

Q3 Do you have children under 18 in your household? (Select all that apply)


Answered: 296 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, under age 5	33.45%	99
Yes, 6-12	32.43%	96
Yes, 12-18	15.54%	46
No	38.18%	113
Total Respondents: 296		

Q4 How did you hear about this survey?

Answered: 297 Skipped: 0




- Email from the City of Medford
- Through social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)
- Word of mouth
- From a City of Medford webpage
- From a flyer in the neighborhood
- Other (please specify)

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Email from the City of Medford	9.09%	27
Through social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	53.87%	160
Word of mouth	17.85%	53
From a City of Medford webpage	0.00%	0
From a flyer in the neighborhood	12.12%	36
Other (please specify)	7.07%	21
TOTAL		297

Q5 Can you rank your level of concern/priority for the following items in Carr Park's current design and condition? (Part 1/3)

Answered: 271 Skipped: 26




- 4 - Very important concern/very high priority to address
- 3 - Important concern/high priority to address
- 2 - Less important concern/medium priority to address
- 1 - Not very important/low priority to address
- 0 - Not an important concern at all/not a priority to address

Carr Park Vision Plan - Online Survey 1

	4 - VERY IMPORTANT CONCERN/VERY HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	3 - IMPORTANT CONCERN/HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	2 - LESS IMPORTANT CONCERN/MEDIUM PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	1 - NOT VERY IMPORTANT/LOW PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	0 - NOT AN IMPORTANT CONCERN AT ALL/NOT A PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	TOTAL
Accessibility	30.94% 82	38.87% 103	19.62% 52	6.79% 18	3.77% 10	265
Safety and security at night (poor lighting, undesirable activity)	47.41% 128	30.00% 81	13.70% 37	4.44% 12	4.44% 12	270
Safety and security for young children or during daytime use	53.36% 143	25.75% 69	13.06% 35	3.36% 9	4.48% 12	268
Outdated play equipment, limited play options	58.15% 157	24.44% 66	10.00% 27	4.81% 13	2.59% 7	270
Flooding/wet conditions	20.90% 56	34.33% 92	31.34% 84	9.33% 25	4.10% 11	268

Q6 Can you rank your level of concern/priority for the following items in Carr Park's current design and condition? (Part 2/3)

Answered: 270 Skipped: 27




- 4 - Very important concern/very high priority to address
- 3 - Important concern/high priority to address
- 2 - Less important concern/medium priority to address
- 1 - Not very important/low priority to address
- 0 - Not an important concern at all/not a priority to address

Carr Park Vision Plan - Online Survey 1

	4 - VERY IMPORTANT CONCERN/VERY HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	3 - IMPORTANT CONCERN/HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	2 - LESS IMPORTANT CONCERN/MEDIUM PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	1 - NOT VERY IMPORTANT/LOW PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	0 - NOT AN IMPORTANT CONCERN AT ALL/NOT A PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	TOTAL
Condition or maintenance of the basketball courts	31.97% 86	34.57% 93	24.91% 67	5.20% 14	3.35% 9	269
Parking (too much or too little)	9.29% 25	17.84% 48	26.39% 71	27.51% 74	18.96% 51	269
Misuse of playground or ball fields	27.99% 75	21.64% 58	24.25% 65	17.91% 48	8.21% 22	268
Condition or maintenance of the ball fields	26.59% 71	30.34% 81	28.84% 77	10.49% 28	3.75% 10	267
Condition or maintenance of the tennis courts	26.59% 71	28.46% 76	29.96% 80	9.36% 25	5.62% 15	267
Storage for sports equipment	14.98% 40	19.10% 51	29.96% 80	23.60% 63	12.36% 33	267

Q7 Can you rank your level of concern/priority for the following items in Carr Park's current design and condition? (Part 3/3)

Answered: 269 Skipped: 28




- 4 - Very important concern/very high priority to address
- 3 - Important concern/high priority to address
- 2 - Less important concern/medium priority to address
- 1 - Not very important/low priority to address
- 0 - Not an important concern at all/not a priority to address

Carr Park Vision Plan - Online Survey 1

	4 - VERY IMPORTANT CONCERN/VERY HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	3 - IMPORTANT CONCERN/HIGH PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	2 - LESS IMPORTANT CONCERN/MEDIUM PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	1 - NOT VERY IMPORTANT/LOW PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	0 - NOT AN IMPORTANT CONCERN AT ALL/NOT A PRIORITY TO ADDRESS	TOTAL
Lack of seating or gathering spaces	24.25% 65	33.96% 91	30.97% 83	5.97% 16	4.85% 13	268
Lack of shade	33.08% 88	35.34% 94	20.68% 55	7.52% 20	3.38% 9	266
Parking (too much or too little)	8.21% 22	16.79% 45	31.72% 85	24.25% 65	19.03% 51	268
Uneven surfaces or paving	35.61% 94	29.55% 78	21.21% 56	7.95% 21	5.68% 15	264
Undesirable activity in the wooded parts of the park	35.82% 96	24.63% 66	21.64% 58	11.57% 31	6.34% 17	268
Invasive plant species	31.20% 83	27.07% 72	26.32% 70	9.40% 25	6.02% 16	266

Q8 Which of these current or potential park features would you like to have or not have at the site, and how important are they to you? (Part 1/2)

Answered: 256 Skipped: 41




■ 4 - Essential to have at the park
 ■ 3 - Important to have at the park
■ 2 - Less important to have at the park
 ■ 1 - Not important to have at the park
■ 0 - Would not like to see this feature at all at the park

Carr Park Vision Plan - Online Survey 1

	4 - ESSENTIAL TO HAVE AT THE PARK	3 - IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	2 - LESS IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	1 - NOT IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	0 - WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE THIS FEATURE AT ALL AT THE PARK	TOTAL
Loop path for walking, bicycles, scooters, etc.	61.26% 155	26.88% 68	9.09% 23	1.98% 5	0.79% 2	253
Multiple sport striping on fields (i.e. tot soccer)	21.43% 54	36.11% 91	32.94% 83	6.35% 16	3.17% 8	252
Park lighting (on a timer to turn off at 9pm)	46.85% 119	33.46% 85	13.78% 35	3.54% 9	2.36% 6	254
Shade structure or picnic pavilion	47.64% 121	34.25% 87	15.75% 40	1.97% 5	0.39% 1	254
Open unstructured lawn area (not sports fields, some trees present)	36.76% 93	33.20% 84	20.55% 52	5.93% 15	3.56% 9	253
Tables for gathering, eating, or activities	44.66% 113	32.02% 81	17.00% 43	4.74% 12	1.58% 4	253
Benches, seats, or seat walls	47.64% 121	35.83% 91	13.39% 34	2.36% 6	0.79% 2	254
Drinking fountain, water bottle filler	37.01% 94	35.83% 91	18.11% 46	6.69% 17	2.36% 6	254
Formalize the trail system in the wooded part of the site	39.76% 101	31.50% 80	22.83% 58	3.94% 10	1.97% 5	254

Q9 Which of these current or potential park features would you like to have or not have at the site, and how important are they to you? (Part 2/2)

Answered: 254 Skipped: 43



■ 4 - Essential to have at the park
 ■ 3 - Important to have at the park
■ 2 - Less important to have at the park
 ■ 1 - Not important to have at the park
■ 0 - Would not like to see this feature at all at the park

	4 - ESSENTIAL TO HAVE AT THE PARK	3 - IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	2 - LESS IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	1 - NOT IMPORTANT TO HAVE AT THE PARK	0 - WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE THIS FEATURE AT ALL AT THE PARK	TOTAL
Nature play area and/or natural play features	33.20% 83	40.40% 101	19.60% 49	3.60% 9	3.20% 8	250
Expanded play opportunities in the wooded part of the site	27.78% 70	34.52% 87	26.19% 66	5.95% 15	5.56% 14	252
Play equipment for toddlers (ages 6 months to 2 years)	46.03% 116	25.79% 65	19.44% 49	6.35% 16	2.38% 6	252
Play equipment for preschoolers (ages 2-5)	51.59% 130	27.38% 69	14.68% 37	3.97% 10	2.38% 6	252
Play equipment for children ages 5-12	53.39% 134	29.88% 75	11.55% 29	3.19% 8	1.99% 5	251
Obstacle course, parkour, or outdoor fitness equipment for older children, teens, and adults	41.27% 104	31.35% 79	20.24% 51	4.37% 11	2.78% 7	252

Q10 Do you have any additional comments, feedback, or information to share regarding the current conditions, design, or programming of the site?

Answered: 99 Skipped: 198

CARR PARK ONLINE SURVEY RESULTS – Open-ended responses

Tallied Concerns in Survey Comments (Questions 7 and 10)

- [17] poor condition of playground and hardscape
- [15] lack of trash and recycling
- [15] poor condition of courts and fields
- [12] needs of seniors
- [10] nighttime safety
- [7] woodland safety concerns
- [6] invasive species
- [5] lack of bathrooms
- [5] flooding
- [4] lack of accessibility
- [1] tree maintenance

Tallied Desires in Survey Comments (Questions 9 and 10)

- [27] dog park
- [26] water feature
- [26] pickleball courts
- [12] basketball pavilion/courts
- [10] paths and organized spaces in the woods (that don't disturb habitat)
- [10] shady seating areas
- [10] pump track in the woods
- [9] community gardens
- [9] native plants
- [8] imaginative, exciting, and safe play equipment for young children
- [7] skate park or skate features (BMX too)
- [7] trail upgrades for all ages, safety
- [7] spaces for teens
- [7] universally accessible play structures
- [6] nighttime lighting
- [4] nature play
- [4] exercise equipment for teens, adults, seniors
- [3] vertical climbing wall or rock climbing features
- [3] baseball fields
- [3] disc gulf basket
- [3] tennis courts
- [2] horseshoe pits
- [2] Futsal court
- [2] volleyball court
- [2] zip line
- [2] bike racks
- [1] roller hockey rink, [1] winter skating rink, [1] visiting food trucks, [1] more parking

GENERAL COMMENT SUMMARIES

- A number of comments focused on environmental concerns, namely the need for shade and ways to cool down in the summer, the prioritization and incorporation of native habitat and plant communities, a need for flood resiliency, a need to incentivize walking and biking, and maintenance systems for trash and recycling.
- There seem to be many concerns about safety in the woodland area; a number of folks propose organized programming in there (pump track, nature play, trails, lighting) as a possible panacea to current safety concerns.
- People expressed concern about current and future site maintenance; ideas were raised about volunteer work days and other methods of fostering community stewardship of the park.
- Several comments emphasize wanting something 'new and different' / 'magical' for the park and playground design.
- Many comments stress the importance of all ages sharing spaces in the park, and a desire for multiuse spaces shared by a variety of park users.
- Folks seem to like the idea of multiuse fields, and there is an overwhelming opinion that there should be a separate fenced off area for dogs away from the fields and playground.